IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

LISA BROWN, M.D.,)
Plaintiff,)
٧.) Civil Action No. 05-32 E
HAMOT MEDICAL CENTER,))

SUPPLEMENTAL APPENDIX TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Appendix - Exhibit L

Brown v. Hamot Medical Center

John Lubahn, M.D.

1 (Pages 1 to 4)

3 1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JOHN LUBAHN, M.D., first having 1 FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA 2 been duly sworn testified as follows: LISA BROWN, M.D. Plaintiff 3 4 DIRECT EXAMINATION Civil Action No. 05-32E v. BY MR. SOREK: 5 HAMOT MEDICAL CENTER, Defendant 6 7 Q. State your name for the record, please. Deposition of JOHN LUBAHN, M.D., taken before 8 A. John Lubahn. and by Carol A. Holdnack, RPR, Notary Public in and 9 Q. And, Dr. Lubahn, have you had your deposition for the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, on Thursday taken before? 1() March 16, 2006, commencing at 9:41 a.m., at the A. Yes. 11 offices of Scarpitti & Mead, Renaissance Center, And what kind of case was it? 12 Q. 1001 State Street, Suite 800, Erie, PA 16501. 13 Primarily Workers' Comp. cases, medical/legal 14 cases. For the Plaintiff: Patrick Sorek, Esq. Leech Tishman Fuscaldo & Lampl, LLC Q. So about how many times have you had your 15 525 William Penn Place, 30th Floor deposition taken? 16 Pittsburgh, PA 15219 17 A. In 25 years? For the Defendant: Kerry M. Richard, Esq. Tobin O'Connor Ewing & Richard 5335 Wisconsin Avenue NW, Suite 700 18 Q. Yes, 19 A. 50. Washington, DC 20015 20 Q. Okay. Reported by Carol A. Holdnack, RPR Ferguson & Holdnack Reporting, Inc. 21 A. That's a guess, by the way. Q. All right. So you're familiar with the deposition 22 23 process in terms of how it goes. I represent the Plaintiff, Dr. Brown. You have counsel here. It's a 24 25 question-and-answer process. The information that you give 2 4 is similar to what you would be providing if you were 1 INDEX 1 testifying at court. And that your answers have to be out 2 2 loud. You've heard all of that many times before, I take 3 3 JOHN LUBAHN, M.D. 4 it. 4 Direct Examination by Mr. Sorek 5 A. Yes. 5 Q. Who did you talk to besides your lawyer to prepare 6 6 for the deposition today? 7 7 8 A. Dana. 8 **EXHIBITS:** 9 O. Ms. Ashley. 9 10 A. Yes. 10 And that's it. 11 Q. 11 12 Α. Yes. 12 13 What documents did you review to prepare for your Q. 13 deposition today? 14 A. Folders that they brought with them. 15 15 "They" meaning who? 16 16 Dana and Attorney Richard. 17 17 Q. What were in the folders? 18 18 19 For the most part, documents related to 19 20 Dr. Brown's performance evaluations, in-training scores, her 20 file. 21 21 Q. Okay. 22 22 A. That's all I can remember. It's kind of like this 23 23 24 stack of papers we have here. 24 25 Q. And you're indicating just maybe 3 or 4 inches of

Brown v. Hamot Medical Center

24

25

21

22

23

24

25

written down anywhere?

John Lubahn, M.D.

3 (Pages 9 to 12)

1 Q. Okay. I'm not sure that answered the question. 2 Did the hospital meet all the requirements, to your 3 knowledge? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. If you take a look at Page 7, Section 3, Paragraph 6 1. 7 A. Page 7? 8 Q. That's right. 9 MS. RICHARD: For the sake of clarity, I'm going 10 to note that that is HMC-03381, which is part of 11 the original document you identified. 12 Q. All right. Section 3, Paragraph 1 says, "Either party may terminate this agreement at any time upon notice 13 14 thereof for proper cause." Do you see that? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. What does proper cause mean? 17 A. Proper cause, to me, is the department chairman and program director. It means that if, in my opinion, 18 19 experience, a resident isn't qualified to move on to the 20 next year based on their core knowledge or their performance 21 in other areas; attitude, behavior, affective domain, that 22 they shouldn't move on to the next year. 23 Q. The standards that you just mentioned, are those

9 11 1 Q. How do you know that? 2 A. Because we met, we discussed it, we agreed with 3 íŧ. 4 Q. Let me stop you there. When you met with the faculty and discussed with the faculty, did you or anyone 6 else bring up the phrase "proper cause"? 7 A. I don't remember. 8 Q. Had you or anybody else looked at the contract at 9 the time you talked about it to the faculty? 10 A. We did look at the contract. Q. Okay. But you don't recall whether the phrase 11 12 "proper cause," what proper cause was discussed. 13 A. That particular phrase, I don't remember. 14 Q. All right. And the discussions that you had, when 15 did those occur, that you just referred to a moment ago? 16 A. Well, they're really ongoing discussions. And the 17 first discussion I ever had with anybody about her quality 18 was her performance, I believe was with Dr. Williams. And 19 that was in her second year. And Dr. Williams felt that she wasn't seeing her 20 21 patients in a timely fashion on morning rounds. And he 22 further stated that when he asked her why she hadn't seen the patients, she said that he had already seen them, so it

would be a duplication of service for her to see them as

10

25 well.

program has to have to be accredited. And so they are 1 2 written down in the hospital. And those are the guidelines 3 that I would follow to determine whether a resident could 4 perform at a given level. 5 Q. Okay. Be specific and tell me what guidelines 6 you're talking about that you just mentioned in your answer. 7 A. The guidelines that I would use are based on a book that I helped write. They were published by the 8 9 Academic Orthopaedic Society in conjunction with, I think, 10 the American Orthopaedic Association and the American Board 11 of Orthopaedic Surgery. 12 Q. And what's the name of the book? 13 A. Curriculum for an Orthopaedic Residency. 14 Q. Is there anywhere that you or anybody else has 15 made known that definition of proper cause to anybody else 16 in the hospital? A. I think the entire faculty that I work with 17 18 understands in this case, Lisa Brown case, Dr. Brown, what 19 the proper cause was. 20 Q. How do you know that?

A. Well, there's a curriculum that any residency

12 1 Q. And Dr. Williams objected to that. I mean, describe what the problem was, because we're all layman here 2 and you described some facts. 4 A. Well, the residents' duties and responsibilities are to see their patients first thing in the morning, prior 5 to a 7:00 teaching conference, to interview the patient, 7 examine the patient, and write a note. And to not do that 8 is a problem. 9 Q. In your experience, had there ever been a time 10 when other residents had not seen patients in the morning 11 and write a note? 12 A. If it happens, I'm usually notified, and I have to 13 deal with it. 14 Q. Well, I guess the question was, in your experience 15 has it happened before? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. Do you remember who it involved? 18 A. I remember one instance. 19 Q. Can you describe it for us. 20 A. There was a resident by the name of Ted Green who was called to go to the emergency room about 4:30. He didn't go to the emergency room. I was notified -- I later talked to Dr. Green about it, and he didn't see a problem. 24 So I put him on probation. I put him on probation for three months. And he corrected the problem and it never happened

A. I believe they do, yes.

A. I spoke to them and I told them.

same understanding of proper cause that you do?

Q. How do you know it was their understanding about

what proper cause means? Do you know that they have the